



UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
FACULTY OF LAW

International Investment Law: A New Global Constitutional Order?

Professor David Schneiderman

LAW235H1F
Course Materials
(Winter 2012)

Storage

K
3830
.S362
2012
c.1

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

JAN - 9 2012

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO



UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
FACULTY OF LAW

International Investment Law: A New Global Constitutional Order?

Professor David Schneiderman

LAW235H1F
Course Materials
(Winter 2012)



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/internationalinv00schn_0

International Investment Law: A New Global Constitutional Order?

Table of Contents

I. Intellectual Origins

Edwin Borchard, "The Minimum Standard of Treatment of Aliens" (1939) 33 ASIL Proceedings 51-63 1

Alejandro Alvarez, "The Agrarian Reforms: The Hungarian Roumanian Controversy Before the League of Nations" pp. 46-50 9

II. Customary International Law

North American Dredging Company of Texas v. United Mexican States (1926) 20 AJIL 800 12

The Oscar Chinn Case (12 December 1934) 1934 PCIJ Reports pp. 78-88 20

Barcelona Traction [1970] ICJ Reports 3 paras. 33-103 28

III. Features of the Investment Treaty Regime

UNCTAD, *Investor-State Dispute Settlement and Impact on Investment Rulemaking* (New York & Geneva: UN, 2007), Pt II, A 42

Kenneth J. Vandeveld, "The BIT program: A Fifteen-Year Appraisal" (1992) 86 ASIL Proceedings 532-540 45

Ibrahim Shihata, "Toward Greater Depoliticization of Investment Disputes: The Roles of ICSID and NIGA" (1986) 1(1) ICSID Review 1, pp. 1-12 53

IV. Appeals

ICSID Convention on Annulment, Art. 52 (1) 59

Christoph H. Schreuer, *The ICSID Convention: A Commentary*, 2nd ed. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009) pp. 900-904 59

V. Consent to Arbitration

ICSID Convention, Art. 25 62

Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija S.A. & Vivendi Universal v. Argentine Republic (Decision on Annulment) (3 July 2002) Case No ARB/97/3, IIC 70, paras. 93-115 ... 63

Mobil Corporation Venezuela Holdings BV and ors v. Venezuela (Decision on Jurisdiction), ICSID Case No ARB/07/27; IIC 435 (10 June 2010), paras. 62-74, 86-88, 120-41 70

VI. Applicable Law

Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, Art. 31 76

ICSID Convention, Art. 42 76

Compañía del Desarrollo de Santa Elena SA v. Costa Rica (Final Award) (17 February 2000), ICSID Case No ARB/96/1, IIC 73, paras. 60-67 77

VII. Jurisdiction

Subject Matter: Investments

Saluka Investments BV v. Czech Republic, Partial Award, PCA—UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules; IIC 210, paras. 203-17 80

Christoph H. Schreuer, *The ICSID Convention: A Commentary*, 2nd ed. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009) pp. 128-34 84

Inmaris Perestroika Sailing Maritime Services GmbH and ors v Ukraine, Decision on Jurisdiction, ICSID Case No ARB/08/8; IIC 431 (8 March 2010), paras. 126-34 88

Pantechniki SA Contractors and Engineers v. Albania (Award), ICSID Case No ARB/07/21; IIC 383 (28 July 2009), paras. 32-49 90

Abaclat and ors v Argentina, Decision on Jurisdiction and Admissibility, ICSID Case No ARB/07/5; IIC 504 (4 August 2011), selected paragraphs 94

Standing: Investors

CMS Gas Transmission Co v Argentina (Decision on objections to jurisdiction), ICSID Case No ARB/01/8; IIC 64 (2003); 42 ILM 788 (17 July 2003), paras. 1-82.....100

Standing: Nationality

Tokios Tokel s v. Ukraine, Decision on Jurisdiction and Dissent, ICSID Case No ARB/02/18; IIC 258 (20 April 2004), paras. 27-93, dissenting opinion of Prosper Weil, paras. 1-30111

Aguas del Tunari SA v Bolivia (Decision on Respondent's Objections to Jurisdiction), ICSID Case No ARB/02/3, IIC 8 (21 August 2005), paras. 205-65128

Loewen Group Inc and Loewen v United States (Award) ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/98/3, IIC 254 (25 June 2003), paras. 218-42141

Mobil Corporation Venezuela Holdings BV and ors v. Venezuela (Decision on Jurisdiction), ICSID Case No ARB/07/27; IIC 435 (10 June 2010), paras. 186-206148

VIII. National Treatment

SD Myers Inc v. Canada (First Partial Award and Separate Opinion), Ad hoc—UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules, IIC 249 (13 November 2000), paras. 238-56151

Methanex Corporation v. United States (Final Award on Jurisdiction and Merits), Ad hoc—UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules; IIC 167 (3 August 2005), Part IV, Chapter B Article 1102, paras. 1-38155

Occidental Exploration and Production Company v. Ecuador (Award), LCIA Case No UN 3467, IIC 202 (1 July 2004), paras. 167-79164

United Parcel Service of America Inc v Canada, Award and separate opinion, Ad hoc—UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules, IIC 306

(24 May 2007), paras. 146-55, 173-81, separate opinion, paras. 94-102, 124-33, 148-52, 197-98168

IX. Most-Favored Nation

Procedural

Maffezini v. Spain (Decision on Objections to Jurisdiction), ICSID Case No ARB/97/7, IIC 85 (25 January 2000), paras. 38-64173

Plama Consortium Limited v Bulgaria (Decision on Jurisdiction), ICSID Case No ARB/03/24, IIC 189 (8 February 2005), paras. 183-227179

Impregilo SpA v Argentine Republic, Final award, ICSID Case No ARB/07/17; IIC 498 (21 June 2011), selected paragraphs187

Substantive

MTD Equity Sdn Bhd and MTD Chile SA v. Chile (Award), ICSID Case No ARB/01/7; IIC 174 (25 May 2004), paras. 100-04190

Austrian Airlines v. Slovakia (Final Award), Ad hoc—UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules; IIC 434 (9 October 2009), paras. 89-108, full dissenting opinion, paras. 1-10192

X. Expropriation

Metalclad Corp v. Mexico (Award), Ad hoc—ICSID Additional Facility Rules; ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/97/1; IIC 161 (25 August 2000), paras. 102-112199

Pope & Talbot Inc v Canada, Interim Award, Ad hoc—UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules, IIC 192 (26 June 2000), paras. 81-105202

SD Myers Inc v. Canada (First Partial Award and Separate Opinion), Ad hoc—UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules, IIC 249 (13 November 2000), paras. 279-288207

Methanex Corporation v. United States (Final Award on Jurisdiction and Merits), Ad hoc—UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules; IIC 167 (3 August 2005), Part IV, Chapter D, Article 1110, paras. 1-18209

Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija SA and Vivendi Universal SA v. Argentina, (Award), ICSID Case No ARB/97/3, IIC 307 (20 August 2007), paras. 7.5.1-7.5.34 (whole of 7.5).....	212	January 2006), paras. 145-67, separate opinion paras. 21-30.....	272
Chemtura Corporation v Canada, Award, Ad hoc—UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules; IIC 451 (2010) 2 August 2010, paragraphs 238-267	218	CMS Gas Transmission Company v. Argentina (Award), ICSID Case No ARB/01/8, IIC 65 (12 May 2005), paras. 266-84	280
Siag and Vecchi v. Egypt (Award), ICSID Case No ARB/05/15; IIC 374 (11 May 2009), paras. 427-44.....	223	Suez and ors v Argentina, Decision on Liability, ICSID Case No ARB/03/17; IIC 442 (30 July 2010), paragraphs 202-12, dissenting opinion of Arbitrator Pedro Nikken.....	283
XI. Minimum Standard of Treatment		XIII. Exceptions (Defence of Necessity)	
Neer v. United Mexican States (1926) Mexico-USA General Claims Commission	229	CMS Gas Transmission Company v. Argentina (Decision on Application for Annulment), ICSID Case No ARB/01/8; IIC 303 (31 August 2007), paras. 101-36....	292
Pope & Talbot Inc v Canada, Award on the Merits of Phase 2, Ad hoc—UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules, IIC 193 (2001), paras. 105-118	237	Sempra Energy International v. Argentina (Decision on Argentina's Application for Annulment of the Award), ICSID Case No ARB/02/16; IIC 438 (10 June 2010), paras. 186-219.....	298
NAFTA Free Trade Commission, "Notes of Interpretation of Certain Chapter 11 Provisions" (31 July 2001).....	240	XIV. Umbrella Clause	
Mondev International Ltd v United States, Award, ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/99/2; IIC 173 (11 October 2002), paras. 94-125	241	SGS Société Générale de Surveillance SA v. Pakistan (Decision on Objections to Jurisdiction), ICSID Case No ARB/01/13; IIC 223 (6 August 2003), paras. 163-74	304
Glamis Gold Ltd v. United States (Award), Ad hoc—UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules; IIC 380 (14 May 2009), paras. 598-627.....	249	Eureko BV v. Poland (Partial Award and Dissenting Opinion), Ad hoc—UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules, IIC 98 (19 August 2005), paras. 244-60.....	307
Merrill & Ring Forestry LP v. Canada (Award), Ad hoc—UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules; IIC 427 (31 March 2010), paras. 182-213	256	XV. Damages	
XII. Fair and Equitable Treatment		Chorzow Factory (Merits) No. 13 (13 September 1928) pp. 29, 46-50.....	314
Técnicas Medioambientales Tecmed SA v. Mexico (Award), ARB(AF)/00/2; IIC 247 (29 May 2003), paras. 152-74.....	262	Luke Eric Peterson, "How Many States Are Not Paying Awards Under Investment Treaties?" Investment Arbitration Reporter (7 May 2010).....	318
International Thunderbird Gaming Corporation v. Mexico (Award), Ad hoc—UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules, IIC 136 (26			

